

Appln No. 10/608,422
Desai et al.
Office Action dated June 14, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner is thanked for the Official Action dated June 14, 2005. This amendment is intended to be fully responsive thereto.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fang. The present claims, as currently amended, are now distinguished over Fang, and, Applicants contend, are both new and unobvious based on any prior art cited.


Independent claims 1, 7, 14 and 19 have all been amended. Support for the claims amendments are found on page 23, and especially for the baffle, lines 22-25 and in Figure 5 and its related text. Further support for amendments to claims 7, 9 and 14, are found on page 20, lines 9-16, and page 21, lines 1-14.

No new matter has been added.

Summary

Based on the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-30, in their current forms, define the invention over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance, and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner believe further discussion regarding the above claim language would expedite prosecution, please be invited to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.


Respectfully submitted:

By: 
Ronald Courtney
Reg. No. 34805
Correspondence address
Valeo, Inc.
Intellectual Property Department
4100 North Atlantic Boulevard
Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326
Tel: (248) 209 84 35 - Fax: (248) 209 82 05

Appln No. 10/608,422
Desai et al.
Office Action dated June 14, 2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by
facsimile to The Assistant Commissioner of Patents,
Alexandria VA, 22313-1450 on November 14, 2005

R. Courtney 34805
Attorney name Registration N.



Signature of Attorney